

File



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,178	11/17/2003	Luc Orino	550-477	9349

23117 7590 12/22/2006
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER

HOANG, DANIEL L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2136

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/714,178

Applicant(s)

ORINO ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel L. Hoang

Art Unit

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/17/03, 5/19/04.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/19/04 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alsberg, US Patent No. 4,672,572.

As per claims 1 and 11, Alsberg teaches:

A processor operable in a plurality of modes, and a plurality of domains, said plurality of domains comprising a first domain and a second domain, the processor comprising:

[see column 2, lines 46-49] "The invention can be briefly described as a protector device for enhancing the security of a computer system which includes one or more user terminals and one or more host computers."

monitoring logic operable to monitor said processor and capture diagnostic data;

[see column 2, lines 46-53] "The protector device includes a detection means for monitoring communications between terminals and host computers wherein the detection means is independent from the host computer and the terminals."

a storage element operable to contain at least one control parameter;

[see column 4, lines 7-9] "The security server also includes means for checking the identification of users of the terminals through a password-type procedure."

control logic operable to control said monitoring logic in dependence on said at least one control parameter and the domain in which said processor is operating, to suppress capturing of diagnostic data relating to predetermined activities of said processor in said first domain.

[see column 4, lines 10-12] "The security server provides an access-level means for limiting identified users to predetermined access to certain computer ports."

[see column 3, lines 11-18] "the detection means includes an audit trail means for storing data segments recorded by the audit recording means when the audit capture signal is generated. Also included in the detection means is a means to block the transfer of certain data identified by

Art Unit: 2136

the command filter so that such identified data is not transferred from terminal to computer or computer to terminal.

As per claims 2 and 12, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein the first domain is a secure domain and the second domain is a non-secure domain, said processor being operable such that when executing a program in a secure mode within said secure domain said program has access to secure data which is not accessible when said processor is operating in a non-secure mode within said non-secure domain.

[see column 6, lines 67-68 and column 7, lines 1-4] "In addition to monitoring and controlling user activities, the security server also supports administrator activities, by means of an administrator monitor 71. Administrator activities include reading and changing the security database 58, analyzing the audit-trail storage 70, and monitoring current system status and controlling system activity.

As per claims 3 and 13, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein the at least one control parameter provides an indication of said domain of operation of the processor, said control logic being operable to suppress capturing of diagnostic data when said processor switches from second to first domain.

[see column 8, lines 64-68] "In some instances it may be desirable to create more than one connection at a time, although a user will generally be limited to using only one connection at a time in most embodiments. This may be desirable in instances where a user may be switching back and forth from one connection to another during a single login session.

Connections are created using login and password as taught in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claims 4 and 14, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein said at least one control parameter identifies an application, said control logic being operable to suppress capturing of diagnostic data when said processor switches from an identified application in said first domain to an application in said first domain not identified by said at least one control parameter.

[see column 2, lines 31-38] "It is another object of the subject invention to provide a security device which provides multilevel access control for each particular user to various computers, operating systems, or function programs available in a computer system, whereby the security device automatically connects the user to the particular computer, operating system, or function program to which the user desires access."

[see column 8, lines 24-26] "It should be recognized that other alternative services could be provided, depending on a particular application."

As per claims 5 and 15, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein said first domain comprises a plurality of modes and said at least one control parameter identifies a particular mode within said first domain, said control logic being operable to suppress capturing of diagnostic data when said processor switches between an identified mode within said first domain and a mode within said first domain not identified by said at least one control parameter.

[see column 6, lines 50-56] "the occurrence of an audit capture command will cause the access node to transfer audit information to the audit trail module 66 via communications media between the access node and security server. In this embodiment, the access node would be responsible for blocking or modifying certain information identified by the command filter."

As per claims 6 and 16, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 5, wherein said plurality of modes in said first domain comprise a user mode and a privileged mode.

[see rejection of claim 2, "User and Administrator"]

As per claims 7 and 17, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein said control logic is operable to control said monitoring logic to resume capturing of diagnostic data when said processor switches back from said predetermined activity to an activity for which capturing of diagnostic data is not suppressed.

[see column 9, lines 2-5] "In the event that a user wishes to resume previously suspended connection, he chooses a "resume-connection command" from the list of available services."

As per claims 8 and 18, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein said monitoring logic comprises logic operable to perform a debug function.

Art Unit: 2136

[see figure 5, element 74, "audit trail analysis"] Examiner interprets the functions of an audit trail analysis in the Alsberg Patent to be the same as that of a debug function.

As per claims 9 and 19, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein said monitoring logic comprises logic operable to perform a trace function.

[see figure 5, element 66, "audit trail recording"] Examiner interprets the functions of audit trail recording in the Alsberg Patent to be the same as that of a trace function.

As per claim 10, Alsberg teaches:

A processor according to claim 1, wherein said control logic suppresses capture of said diagnostic data by removing power input to the monitoring logic.

[see column 10, lines 67-68 and column 11, lines 1-4] "Another type of command selection that the administrator typically has available includes system control commands. System-control commands are exemplified by the ability to force a user off the system, shut the system down, and send messages to all users on the system."

Conclusion

The following patents, pre-grant publications and NPL are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to diagnostic data capture methods.

US Patent No. 5,119,377 to Cobb et al., which is cited to show a system and method for software error early detection and data capture.

US PGP No. 20020174333 to Harrah et al., which is cited to show a disabling tool execution via roles.

US Patent No. 6,757,829 to Laczko, Sr. et al., which is cited to show a program debugging system for securing computing device having secure and non-secure modes.

Art Unit: 2136

US Patent No. 5,032,979 to Hecht et al., which is cited to show a distributed security auditing system.

US PGP No. 20020188831 to Jackson et al., which is cited to show annotations for transaction tracing.

US PGP No. 20020073328 to Daniels et al., which is cited to show security keys for enhances downstream access security for electronic file systems and drives.

US Patent No. 6,574,734 to Colson et al., which is cited to show a method for securing access to automotive devices and software services.

US Patent No. 6,785,822 to Sadhwani-Tully, which is cited to show a system for role based dynamic configuration of user profiles.

US Patent No. 5,933,594 to La Joie et al., which is cited to show a diagnostic system for run-time monitoring of computer operations.

*. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulaney Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


Art Unit: 2136

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Daniel L. Hoang
12/18/06

NASSER MOAZZAMI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


12,19,06